# Whitley Castle (Epiacum) Roman Fort, Northumberland: Operation Jericho 

## Quern Assessment Summary

## Assemblage:

- 23 querns: weight 150 kg , for a collection estimated at 525 kg intact ( $>1 / 2$ tonne!).
- all the querns were hand-operated, with no evidence of the presence of mechanically powered millstones.
- unusually, this assemblage lacks any primary contexts or dates - so any conclusions have to be solely derived from the inherent properties of the querns.
- the bulk of the fragments come from a collection of eighteen Roman hand querns which can reasonably be assumed to come from the fort and its associated vicus.
- the remaining four fragments are all uncompleted rough-outs with a wide chronology, ranging from a beehive quern (mid-Iron Age - late Roman), three disc querns (Roman to possibly Medieval) plus a likely pot quern (post $\mathrm{AD} 12^{\text {th }} \mathrm{C}$ ). Their presence could be explained if the wall builders had collected them from nearby quern quarrying and preparation areas [cf the wide time range of the debris recorded at the quarry site of Wharncliffe Rocks by Pearson \& Oswald (2000)]


## Disc Quern Types

Traprain Law ("TL") type querns - upper stones with collared hoppers and handle slots in upper surface:

- all three upper stones are of 'standard' diameter $390 \mathrm{~mm}(+/-30 \mathrm{~mm})$, with none of the larger variant, 480 mm ( $+/-50 \mathrm{~mm}$ ).
- as five of the lower disc querns are also in this 'standard' size range, it is likely that some of these stones will have been paired with a TL upper and thus be of a comparable date.
- such smaller hand querns seem to have an earlier starting date (typically extending from $2^{\text {nd }}-4^{\text {th }}$ century $A D$ ).
- in the north of England, this quern type is strongly associated with Roman forts (providing 19 of the 37 known examples) and with civil sites close to Roman roads.
- previously Catterick was the fort in northern England with the most TL querns, with 3 examples (this is now matched by 3 from Epiacum)


## Other Discs:

- Only one upper stone (No 4) closely mimicked imported lava querns, suggesting that it was Early Roman in date, but the rest don't have these early features, so are probably Antonine or later.
- No upper stone had the characteristic outer flange of a lava quern on its upper surface.
- Seven examples had grooving on their grinding surfaces to enhance their performance. Six of the 18 disc querns ( $30 \%$ ) had a 6-harp pattern and all rotated anticlockwise. This broadly matches expectations, as only c. $30 \%$ of all UK disc handquerns use 'harps' and, of those with a rotational preference, $60 \%$ are anti-clockwise.


## Lava Disc Querns:

- none were collected - despite local 'basalt' being used as a wall material.
- As lava querns are quite brittle and have poor survival characteristics in acid environments, it is likely that their absence from the wall reflects their non-availability to the builders due to prior breakage.


## Millstones:

- their absence could be significant. Comparable fort assemblages usually contain several millstones.
- In view of the absence of any disc querns with diameters of $455-525 \mathrm{~mm}$, this could suggest that, when the army abandoned the site, the more valuable larger querns and millstones were taken away for reuse.


## Lithology:

- awaits detailed geological examination - they seem to be a mixture of local sandstones and Millstone Grit.

Summary of Whitley Castle Querns

| No | Sect <br> No | $\begin{gathered} \text { YQS } \\ \text { No } \end{gathered}$ | Type | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{U} / \\ \mathrm{L} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \% | Diam (mm) | $\begin{gathered} \underset{(\mathrm{mm})}{\mathrm{Rim} \mathrm{Ht}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Wt} \\ (\mathrm{Kg}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Intact } \\ (\mathrm{Kg}) \end{gathered}$ | Est Wear (\%) | Lith |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 8 | 8101 | 'T L' Disc | U | 40-45 | 355 | c. 70 | 5.5 | 13 | 50-60 | MSG |
| 2 | 14 | 8102 | 'T L' Disc | U | 30 | 360 | 75 | 5.7 | 19 | 5-25 | S/S |
| 3 | 16 | 8103 | 'T L' Disc | U | 25 | 425 | 55 | 4.6 | 19 | 50 | MSG? |
| 4 | Gap2 | 8121 | Disc- Harp (A) | U | 23 | c. 360 | 55 | 3.2 | 13.5 | 55-75 | S/S |
| 5 | 23 | 8120 | Disc | U | 35 | 360 | 60 | 5.0 | 14 | 45-65 | MSG |
| 6 | 7 | 8111 | Disc- Harp (A?) | U | 10 | c. 400 | 42 | 1.2 | 12 | 85 | MSG |
| 7 | 22 | 8115 | Disc | U | c. 20 | 400 | 60 | 3.1 | 15 | 65-80 | MSG? |
| 8 | 2 | 8110 | Disc- Harp (A) | U? | 10 | c. 400 | 52 | 1.3 | 13 | 70-75 | MSG? |
| 9 | 8 | 8113 | Disc- Harp (Radial) | U | 17 | 450 | 90 | 4.5 | 27 | 25-35 | S/S |
| 10 | 23/28 | 8107 | Disc- Harp (A) | L | 30-40 | >300 | <95 | 9.0 | 23-33 | 25 | S/S |
| 11 | 7 | 8118 | Disc | L | 50 | 330 | 75 | 6.0 | 12 | 25-85 | MSG? |
| 12 | 25 | 8116 | Disc | L | c. 10 | c. 380 | 45 | 1.4 | 14 | 80 | MSG? |
| 13 | U/S | 8109 | Disc | L | 10-15 | 400-450 | 52 | 1.8 | 16 | 70-80 | MSG? |
| 14 | 26 | 8108 | Disc | L | 47 | c. 420 | 60-65 | 10 | 21 | 50-60 | S/S |
| 15 | 15 | 8114 | Disc | L | 47 | 430 | 80-90 | 13.5 | 29 | 25-35 | S/S |
| 16 | 23 | 8104 | Disc- Harp (A) | L | 17 | 450 | 75 | 4.4 | 26 | 50 | MSG? |
| 17 | 8 | 8105 | Disc- Harp (A) | L | 17 | c. 450 | 75 | 5.7 | 31.5 | 35-45 | MSG? |
| 18 | 16 | 8119 | Disc | L | 20 | c. 450 | 85 | 7.5 | 37 | 20-25 | MSG? |
| 19 | 23 | 8122 | Beehive Rough-out? | L | 19 | 300-350 | 90 | 5.0 | 26 | Nil | S/S |
| 20 | 15 | 8112 | Disc Rough-out? | L? | 25 | 375-425 | 26 | 8.5 | 34 | Nil | MSG? |
| 21 | 24 | 8124 | Disc Rough-out | L | 95 | 400-410 | c. 100 | 28 | 30 | Nil | S/S |
| 22 | 24 | 8123 | Disc Rough-out | L? | 40-45 | 430 | 95 | 13.5 | 32 | Nil | S/S |
| 23 | 10 | 8117 | Pot Quern Rough-out? | U | 27 | 250 | 75 | 2.1 | 7.5 | 27 | S/S |
| $\Sigma$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 150.5 | 526.5 |  |  |

Table 1: Whitley Castle Quern Summary

Quern Distribution along the Wall:

- Querns were recorded by the 5 m length of their individual wall sections.
- The densest concentrations were between sections 7-16 (with 11 querns) and between sections 22-26 (which contained 9 querns)
- The distribution of quern types is random, with no suggestion of a particular sector being preferentially built from a freshly robbed quern assemblage. The presence of three (out of the four) quern rough-outs in sections $23 \& 24$ may suggest the delivery of a batch of stone from a nearby quern quarry.

| Section | 2 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | Gap | U/S | $\Sigma$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TL Upper Disc | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 |
| Disc-Upper | $1 ?$ | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | $5+1 ?$ |
| Disc-Lower | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | - | $1 ?$ | 1 | - | 1 | $8+1 ?$ |
| B/H Rough-out | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $1 ?$ | - | - | - | - | - | $1 ?$ |
| Disc Rough-out | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | 3 |
| Pot-Quern Roughout? | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| $\Sigma$ | $1 ?$ | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | $3+1 ?$ | 2 | $1 ?$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | $20+3 ?$ |

Table 2: Distribution Along the Dismantled Wall

- YQS data demonstrates a bimodal distribution of UK disc hand quern sizes. From a sample of 543 stones, the strongest peak is at c .400 mm , with a smaller focus around c .450 mm .
- Whilst the smaller peak may be the result of small rim fragments being recorded as either c.400mm or c. 450 mm , the major UK peak at 400 mm is real, as lava discs strongly favour that size.
- When compared with the UK figures, the querns from Whitley Castle lack any 'Large' querns with diameters beyond 450 mm and contain more of the smaller 'Standard' querns.
- We know from Diocletian'e Edict of AD 301 that the larger millstones were more costly than the more easier fabricated smaller stones.
- The absence of hand querns of $>450 \mathrm{~mm}$ diameter (and the total absence of millstones ( $>525 \mathrm{~mm}$ diameter) could suggest that these more valuable stones had been preferentially removed when the army abandoned the site.


Whitley Castle 'Standard' size I 'Large 'size

| Diameter <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ | $<349$ | $350-$ <br> 369 | $370-$ <br> 389 | $390-$ <br> 409 | $410-$ <br> 429 | $430-$ <br> 449 | $450-$ <br> 469 | $470-$ <br> 489 | $490-$ <br> 509 | $510-$ <br> 520 | $\Sigma$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | 1 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 4 | - | - | - | 20 |
| $\%$ | 5 | 20 | 5 | 25 | 15 | 10 | 20 | - | - | - | 100 |

## Fragmentation Pattern of Disc Querns Made from Local Stone

- From the limited amount of data so far collected by YQS, the pattern of quern breakage appears broadly consistent with that recorded from a similar assemblage at Catterick fort.
- This suggests that the quern fragments had been re-used in the dry-stone wall as found, without being further modified during wall construction.

| $\%$ <br> survival | No | Whitley <br> Castle | $\Sigma \%$ | Catterick <br> Local Discs |  | No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Sigma \%$ | Haggs <br> Farm | No | $\Sigma \%$ |  |  |  |
| $<1$ |  |  |  |  | 1 | 7 |
| $1-5$ |  |  | 3 | 13 |  | 7 |
| $6-10$ | 3 | 14 |  | 1 | 18 |  |
| $11-20$ | 7 | 48 |  | 6 | 45 | 8 |
| $21-30$ | 4 | 67 | 5 | 68 | 3 | 60 |
| $31-40$ | 2 | 76 | 3 | 82 | 1 | 87 |
| $41-50$ | 4 | 95 | 4 | 100 | 2 | 100 |
| $51-60$ | - | 95 |  |  |  |  |
| $61-70$ | - | 95 |  |  |  |  |
| $71-80$ | - | 95 |  |  |  |  |
| $81-90$ | - | 95 |  |  |  |  |
| $91-100$ | 1 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| $\Sigma$ | 21 |  | 22 |  | 15 |  |

Table 3: Fragmentation Patterns

## Estimation of the Extent of Wear on Querns Made From Local Stone

- Having built up a data-bank of the rim heights and the estimated weights of a wide range of querns, ranging from unused rough-outs to abandoned, exhausted querns, YQS now routinely estimates the quern usage.
- Taking the average of these two independent wear determinations enables us to compare the pattern of usage at Whitley Castle with that at other Roman sites.
- The wear profile at Whitley Castle is quite mixed, with the three rough-outs being joined by at least five other modestly-used querns (similar to the usage at the Catterick Bridge-head), together with at least five well-used examples (comparable to that at Haggs Farm, a 'civil' site in Swaledale).

| $\%$ worn | Whitley | Castle | Catterick | a)Vicus | b)Bridge | -Head | Haggs | Farm |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No | $\Sigma \%$ | No | $\Sigma \%$ | No | $\Sigma \%$ | No | $\Sigma \%$ |  |
| $0-10$ | 3 | 14 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 25 | 1 | 7 |  |
| $11-20$ | 1 | 19 |  | 2 | 25 |  | 3 | 62 |  |
| $21-30$ | 4 | 38 | 1 | 33 |  | 62 |  | 7 |  |
| $31-40$ | 1 | 43 | 2 | 50 | 1 | 75 | 2 | 7 |  |
| $41-50$ | 2 | 52 | 3 | 75 |  | 75 | 3 | 40 |  |
| $51-60$ | 4 | 71 |  | 75 | 1 | 87 | 2 | 53 |  |
| $61-70$ | 1 | 76 | 1 | 83 | 1 | 100 | 3 | 73 |  |
| $71-80$ | 4 | 85 | 1 | 92 |  |  | 3 | 93 |  |
| $81-90$ | 1 | 100 |  | 92 |  |  | 1 | 100 |  |
| $91-100$ |  |  | 1 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\Sigma$ | 21 |  | 12 |  | 8 |  | 15 |  |  |
| AveWear |  | $43 \%$ |  | $42 \%$ |  | $26 \%$ |  | $55 \%$ |  |

Table 4: Quern Usage

How do the Range of Quern Types Compare with Other Northern Military sites?

- Lava querns typically constitute $30-60 \%$ of the assemblage at all military sites.
- Millstones are around $6-8 \%$ of military assemblages - so we'd expect 1-2 millstones from the site.
- Isolated beehive querns are common finds from military sites.

Yorkshire Sites - Five Dere Street -'Military' sites

| Site | Saddle/ <br> Rubber | Beehive | Non- <br> Lava <br> Disc | Lava <br> Disc | Small <br> Mill-Stone | Large <br> M/S | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Diameter (mm) |  |  | $250-524$ | $250-524$ | $525-649$ | $>650$ |  |
| Total | 13 | 36 | 79 | 130 | 16 | 8 | 280 |
| Percentage | $5 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

Hadrian's Wall - Six 'Military’ sites

| Site | SQ | Beehive | Non-Lava <br> Disc | Lava <br> Disc | Small <br> Mill-Stone | Large <br> M/S | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Diameter (mm) |  |  | $250-524$ | $250-524$ | $525-649$ | $>650$ |  |
| Total | 2 | 40 | 108 | 72 | 12 | 5 | 239 |
| Percentage | $1 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

North Pennine Military Zone - Five Sites abandoned by AD 150

| Site | SQ | Beehive | Non-Lava <br> Disc | Lava Disc | Small <br> Mill-Stone | Large <br> M/S | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Diameter $(\mathrm{mm})$ |  |  | $250-524$ | $250-524$ | $525-649$ | $>650$ |  |
| Total |  | 14 | 54 | 28 | 5 | 3 | 104 |
|  |  | $13 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

North Pennine Military Zone - Sites with longer occupations (min 15 querns)

| Site | Date | SQ | Beehive | Non-Lava Disc | Lava Disc | Small Mill-Stone | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Large } \\ & \text { M/S } \end{aligned}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Diameter (mm) |  |  |  | 250-524 | 250-524 | 525-649 | $>650$ |  |
| Walton-le-Dale- 1980/96 | 90-250+ | 1 | 3 (+1?) | 30 (+8?) | 11 (+60) | 1 | - | 115 |
| Binchester (1976-91) | 70-400+ | - | 2 | 17 | 12 | (2?) | 1 | 32 (+2?) |
| Maryport |  | - | 3 (+3?) | 11 (+9)? | 2 | ) | 2 | 33 |
| Piercebridge | 70-400+ | - | 1 | 6 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 24 |
| Ribchester | 70-370 | - | 7 | 4 | 10 | 1 | - | 22 |
| Whitley Castle (2018) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } 2^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{d} \\ & \text { mid } 4^{\text {dim }} \end{aligned}$ | - | 1 ? | 21 | - | - | - | 21 (+1?) |
| Adel Fort/ Vicus | $1^{\text {st }}-4^{\text {th }} \mathrm{C}$ | - | 2 | 10 | 4 | 3 | - | 19 |
| Old Penrith (1935) | 85-350? | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | 3 |
| Total |  | 1 | 23 | 116 | 115 | 13 | 4 | 272 |
| Percentage |  | - | 8\% | 43\% | 43\% | 5\% | 1\% | 100\% |

Scottish Military Zone - Nine forts

| Site | Saddle | Beehive | Non-Lava <br> Disc | Lava <br> Disc | Small <br> Mill-Stone | Large <br> M/S | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Diameter (mm) |  |  | $250-524$ | $250-524$ | $525-649$ | $>650$ |  |
| Total | 1 | 10 | 36 | 67 | 1 | - | 115 |
| Percentage | $1 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $1 \%$ | - | $100 \%$ |

## Catalogue

No 1: 'Traprain Law' type hand quern - Upper Stone
Description: 40-45\% fragment, broken radially: c. $60 \%$ of the grinding surface ("G/S") has been removed: modest damage to G/S edge and hopper collar: The upper surface is parallel to the G/S, finished smoothly, with a hopper collar 46 mm wide and 20 mm high: Outside the collar is a 80 mm long, 20 mmm wide groove adjacent to the hypothetical handle slot: the hopper is steeply conical, with an insert ( $15 \mathrm{~mm} \times 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 5 mm deep) for a rynd in mid-face: The edge is vertical: The surviving G/S is smooth, flat for its outer 90 mm and concave (est 20 mm ).
Lithology: Well sorted, fine to medium grained sandstone: Millstone Grit
Dimensions: Diameter 355 mm : Height Rim c70mm, collar $77-85 \mathrm{~mm}$ : Hopper width c. 80 mm , depth 70 mm : Feed-Pipe ("F/P") diam 70mm: Weight 5.5kg (Est intact 13kg): YQS 8101: Section 8.
Comments: Standard quern size: Moderate usage - est $50-60 \%$ worn:
No 2: 'Traprain Law' type hand quern - Upper Stone
Description: $30 \%$ fragment in two joining pieces: Upper surface is parallel to the $\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{S}$ and is nearly pecked: The hopper is concave, with a 30 mm wide, 7 mm high collar and with a rynd indent set into it ( 40 mm wide, 20 mm high, 5 mm deep). Its edge is vertical, with a rounded top: The G/S is flat, was originally pecked but is now worn. It has a single shallow groove extending from the feed-pipe base ( 80 mm long, 17 mm wide, 3 mm deep), which is roughly in-line with the rynd-slots.
Lithology: Fine grained sandstone
Dimensions: Diameter 360mm: Height rim 75mm, collar 84mm: Hopper width c.120mm, depth 35 mm : F/P diam 80 mm : Weight 5.73 kg (Est intact 19kg): YQS 8102: Section 14.
Comments: Standard sized quern: Lightly worn - est 5-25\% wear: Absence of a radial handle slot in upper surface is to be expected, as they are normally at right angles to the rynd-slots

## No 3: 'Traprain Law' type hand quern - Upper Stone

Description: $25 \%$ fragment: Broken by two opposed chordal removals, then split in two: Upper surface is parallel to the G/S: It has a broad hopper collar ( 69 mm wide, 15 mm high) and its outer area is slightly domed, with linear tooling: The edge is vertical: $G / S$ is concave (c.20mm) with a worn, pecked surface Lithology: Fine grained sandstone - possibly MSG
Dimensions: Diameter 425 mm : Height Rim 55mm, collar 80 mm : Hopper width c.100mm: F/P doesn't survive, bur $<80 \mathrm{~mm}$ diam. Weight 4.62 kg (est intact 19kg): YQS 8103: Section 16
Comments: Standard sized quern: Wear estimate is $50 \%$ used.

## No 4: Disc Quern - Upper Stone

Description: $23 \%$ fragment: Broken radially: Upper surface is flat and level, with decorative, faint. grooves ( 12 mm apart and 1 mm deep), based on a complex harp pattern: There is no hopper and a triangular rynd-slot ( 30 mm long, $>25 \mathrm{~mm}$ max width and 20 mm max depth) is set into the top of the F/P. The edges are dressing vertically, emulating the striae on imported lava querns: G/S is flat, well-worn (and probably concave), with two set of straight harps (of a 6 harp pattern) which rotated anti-clockwise.
Lithology: Dark red, fine grained sandstone
Dimensions: Diameter c.360mm: Height Rim 55mm, centre 55mm: Weight 3.164kg (est intact 13.5 kg ): YQS 8121: Gap 2:
Comments: Estimated wear is $55-75 \%$ : Most of its features are derived from imported lava querns. Similar examples from Castleford and Catterick come from late $1^{\text {st }}-2^{\text {nd }}$ century AD contexts.

Description: cc. $35 \%$ fragment, with a chordal and a radial break: Upper surface is flat and peck-dressed, as is the vertical edge: $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{P}$ is off-centre by c. 10 mm : Rynd-slot ( 25 mm wide, 15 mm high, 7 mm deep) is set into the conical hopper. G/S is concave ( $10-15 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), worn smooth, with the outer 70 mm flat.
Lithology: Medium grained sandstone, with sparse quartz pebbles 10 mm diam: Millstone Grit
Dimensions: Diameter 360mm: Height rim 60 mm , centre 68 mm : Hopper width 90 mm , depth 50 mm : F/P diam c. 55 mm : Weight 5.0 kg (est intact 14 kg ): YQS 8120: Section 23

Comments: Estimated wear is $45-65 \%$.

## No 6: Disc Quern - Upper Stone

Description: c.10\% rim fragment: Upper surface is smoothly finished flat: Edge is vertical: G/S is flat, with poorly executed harp grooves (probably from a 6 harp pattern) which rotated anti-clockwise.
Lithology: Fine grained sandstone (similar to No 8)
Dimensions: Diameter c. 400 mm : Height rim 42 mm , centre $<37 \mathrm{mmm}$ : Weight 1.208 kg (est intact 12 kg ): YQS 8111: Section 7.
Comments: Estimated wear is $85 \%$.

## No 7: Disc Quern - Upper Stone

Description: . $20 \%$ rim fragment, with a chordal and a radial break: Upper surface is gently domed, dressed smooth, with no hopper: the edge is slightly curved: G/S is slightly concave ( 2 mm ) and peck-dressed with a linear trend.
Lithology: Grey, fine grained sandstone - possibly MSG
Dimensions: Diam 400 mm : Height rim 50 mm , centre 45 mm : F/P diam c.60mm: Weight 3.066kg (est intact 15kg): YQS 8115: Section 22.
Comments: Estimated wear is $65-80 \%$
No 8: Disc Quern - Upper Stone
Description: $10 \%$ rim fragment: Upper surface is flat and neatly pecked: The edge is vertical and smoothly finished: G/S is flat, with two harps of a 6 -harp pattern (straight grooves, 14 mm apart, $3-4 \mathrm{~mm}$ wide, $2-3 \mathrm{~mm}$ deep), which rotated anti-clockwise.
Lithology: Grey, fine grained sandstone (like No 6)
Dimensions: Diameter c. 400 mm : Height rim 50 mm , centre $<43 \mathrm{~mm}$ : Weight 1.348 kg (est intact 13 kg ): YQS 8110: Section 2 /
Comments: Estimated wear 70-75\%:
No 9: Disc Quern - Upper Stone
Description: $17 \%$ fragment: broken radially, with $100 \%$ removal of G/S edge: Distinctive 'bagel' profile, with a pecked upper surface and edge, a convex hopper into a very wide $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{P}$ and an atypical radial pattern of grooves on its flat $\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{S}$ : the grooves are $20-25 \mathrm{~mm}$ apart, 6 mm wide, 2 mm deep, separated by shorter (4080 mmm long) grooves extending from the $\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{S}$ edge. Such a pattern has no preferred direction of rotation. Lithology: Light brown, fine grained sandstone
Dimensions: Diameter 450 mm : Height 90 mm : Hopper width 200 mm , depth 69 mm , F/P diam 130 mm : Weight 4.539 kg (est intact 27 kg )L YQS 8113: Section 8.

Comments: Estimated wear 25-35\%: Deliberate removal of G/S edge is normally associated with non-Roman decommissioning routines: The wide F/P and rare radial grooves (only 10 examples recorded by YQS) both suggest this quern was used differently from other hand querns (perhaps for cereal de-husking or malt grinding?)

Description: $30-40 \%$ core fragment, in two joining pieces: Total (thus deliberate) removal of G/S edge: G/S is flat and convex $\left(10^{\circ}\right)$, with a 6 harp pattern of grooves, for a top stone rotating anti-clockwise.: The base is flat and neatly pecked.
Lithology: Fine grained sandstone
Dimensions: Diameter $>300 \mathrm{~mm}$ (Est $400-450 \mathrm{~mm}$ ): Height rim $<95 \mathrm{~mm}$, centre 120 mm : Perforated eye diameter: top 40 mm , minimum 20mm, base 55 mm : Weight 9 kg (est intact 23-33kg): YQS 8107: Section 23 (large frag) + Section 28 (small frag).
Comments: Extent of usage, only c. $25 \%$ worn: From the small minimum perforation and an estimated rim height of 90 mm , the intact diameter is likely to be between $400-450 \mathrm{~mm}$. If it has suffered ancient damage, the complete removal of the G/S edge would be a non-Roman trait. However, the resulting roughly rectangular shape may be the result of modification by the wall-builders (but no other similar quern modification has been recorded).

## No 11: Disc Quern - Lower Stone

Description: c. $50 \%$ fragment, broken across its diameter, with $25 \%$ of its basal edge damaged: $\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{S}$ is flat and convex, with a worn, pecked surface: the edge varies between vertical and in-turned: The 'Eye' is hour-glass shape, having been worked from opposing faces: The base is roughly dressed flat.
Lithology: Fine grained sandstone - possibly MSG
Dimensions: Diameter 330 mm : Height rim 75 mm , centre 90 mm : Perforation diam: top 40 mm , minimum 1520 mm , base 45 mm : Weight 6.0 kg (Est intact 12 kg ): YQS 8118: Section 7.
Comments: Extent of usage: the light weight suggests $85 \%$ used, but rim thickness only indicates $25 \%$ wear. This disagreement may be linked to it being an atypically small disc quern - less than $2 \%$ of the YQS archive are less than 350 mm diameter.

No 12: Disc Quern - Lower Stone
Description: c. $10 \%$ rim fragment: G/S is flat and smooth: Edge is vertical: Base is a roughly finished dome. Lithology: Grey, fine grained sandstone (possibly MSG)
Dimensions: Diameter c. 380 mm : Height rim 45 mm , centre $>75 \mathrm{~mm}$ : Weight 1.419 kg (est intact 14 kg ): YQS 8116: Section 25.
Comments: Extent of wear is estimated at $80 \%$ : It is unlikely to be a fragment from a bun-shaped beehive as they are normally thicker.

## No 13: Disc Quern - Lower Stone

Description: 10-15\% rim fragment: G/S is f;at, with two harps (of a 6-harp pattern) for rotating anti-clockwise, with straight grooves, 16 mm apart, 3 mm wide and 2 mm deep: The vertical edge is dressed smooth: the outer 50 mm of the base is smooth and flat, with the (assumed) concave interior area is more roughly worked.
Lithology: Fine grained sandstone, possibly MSG
Dimensions: Diameter $400-450 \mathrm{~mm}$ : Height rim 52 mm , centre $<42 \mathrm{~mm}$ : Weight 1.76 kg (est intact 16 kg ): YQS 8109: Unstratified (from the spoil-heap)
Comments: Extent of wear is $70-80 \%$ used.
No 14: Disc Quern - Lower Stone
Description: $47 \%$ fragment, broken across a diameter, with $\mathrm{c} . .40 \%$ of the $\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{S}$ edge nibbled: $\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{S}$ is slightly concave ( 5 mm ), neatly pecked, with the outer 80 mm flat: outer edge was originally vertical: the base was flat and smoothly finished.
Lithology: Reddish-brown, fine grained sandstone
Dimensions: Diameter c. 420 mm : Height rim $60-65 \mathrm{~mm}$, centre $55-60 \mathrm{~mm}$ : Perforation diameter (top 35 mm , minimum 15 mm and oval base $60-130 \mathrm{~mm}$ ): Weight 10 kg (est intact 21 kg ): YQS 8108: Section 26
Comments: Extent of wear is $50-60 \%$ used:
No 15: Disc Quern - Lower Stone

Description: $47 \%$ fragment, broken across a diameter and $20 \%$ of G/S edge removed: G/S is slightly convex, worn smooth: the peck-dressed edge is vertical: the 'eye' is hour-glass, worked from both faces: the base is roughly dressed flat
Lithology: Finely grained sandstone
Dimensions: Diameter 430mm: Height rim 80-90mm, centre 100mm: Perforation diameter (top 46mm, minimum 20 mm , base 35 mm : Weight 13.5 kg (est intact 29 kg ): YQS 8114: Section 15
Comments: Extent of wear 25-35\%:

## No 16: Disc Quern - Lower Stone

Description: $17 \%$ fragment, broken radially, with c. $40 \%$ of G/S edge removed and none of its 'eye' surviving: $\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{S}$ has its outer 120 mm flat, with two sets of neat, linear harps (from a 6-harp pattern) rotating anti-clockwise: the harps stop at a 15 mm wide, 2 mm deep 'distribution groove' of 180 mm diameter: the smoothly finished edges are straight, the base is pecked concave towards the 'eye'.
Lithology: Fine grained sandstone, possibly MSG
Dimensions: Diameter c. 450 mmm : Height rim 75 mm , centre $<65 \mathrm{~mm}$ : Eye $<80 \mathrm{~mm}$ : Weight 4.395 kg (est intact 26kg): YQS 8104: Section 23
Comments: Extent of wear $50 \%$ : This is the only quern with a groove to evenly distribute the feedstock over the G/S. Being located at $40 \%$ of the overall diameter, it is in the expected position ( $37 \%+/-7 \%$ )

## No 17: Disc Quern - Lower Stone

Description: $17 \%$ fragment, broken radially: G/S is almost flat, with two sets of curving harps (from a 6-harp pattern) rotating anticlockwise (grooves 15 mmm apart, 4 mm wide, 2 mm deep): vertical edge and an unevenly flat base.
Lithology: Fine grained sandstone (possibly MSG)
Dimensions: Diameter c. 450 mm : Height rim 75 mm , centre 80 mm : Perforation diameter est $30-40 \mathrm{~mm}$ : Weight 5.686 kg (est intact 31.5 kg ): YQS 8105: Section 8

Comments: Extent of wear $35-45 \%$ : Nos $9,17 \& 18$ are the only 'Large' size querns in the assemblage.

## No 18: Disc Quern - Lower Stone

Description: 20\% fragment, roughly broken across a diameter and halved, with no 'eye' remaining:G/S is gently concave ( $10-15 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) with a peck-dressed surface with some linear tooling: Vertical edge and a flat, roughly dressed base.
Lithology: Grey, fine grained sandstone (possibly MSG)
Dimensions: Diameter c. 459 mm : Height rimm 85 mm , centre $>95 \mathrm{mmm}$ : Weight 7.5 kg (est intact 37 kg ): YQS 8119: Section 16.
Comments: Extent of use: 20-25\% worn:
No 19. Probable Beehive Base Rough-out
Description: 19\% fragment, broken roughly radially, with no evidence of a spindle hole or a perforation in its central area: the potential G/S has been dressed flat (with a 10 mm chisel?), but no rotary wear is evident: the sides are vertical, neatly dressed smooth: The base is an apparently un-worked boulder surface, somewhat domed.
Lithology: Fine grained sandstone
Dimensions: Diameter estimated at $300-350 \mathrm{~mm}$ : Height rim 90 mm , centre $>120 \mathrm{~mm}$ : Weight 5.0 (est intact 26kg): YQS 8122: Section 23.
Comments: No evidence of use: Its weight and dimensions are within the expected range of a beehive base.

No 20: Disc Rough-out - Probable Lower Stone

Description: $25 \%$ fragment - chordal fracture: 'Upper' surface peck- dressed, along natural bedding planes, but with no evidence of rotary wear: Edge is drum-shaped and peck-dressed: 'Base' is flat, retaining its bedding planes plus un-worked features, with some peck-dressing.
Lithology: Grey, fine grained sandstone - possibly MSG
Dimensions: Diameter $400 \mathrm{~mm}(+/-25 \mathrm{~mm})$ : Height rim between $115-125 \mathrm{~mm}$, Weight 8.5 kg (est intact 34 kg ): YQS 8112: Section 15.
Comments: As the max rim thickness previously recorded was 110 mm , and the max estimated weight was 35 kg , this stone is more likely to be a rough-out than a barely-used quern.

No 21: Disc Rough-out - Probable Lower Stone
Description: c. $95 \%$ survival, with a chordal removal of $\mathrm{c} .15 \% \mathrm{G} / \mathrm{S}$ edge: 'Upper' surface has been gently domed ( 20 mm high) using linear tooling towards the centre, where there are two conical pits ( 8 mm diam, 8 mm deep) and 20 mm apart: the edges are roughly finished vertical: base is hammer dressed flat.
Lithology: Fine grained sandstone
Dimensions: Diameter $400-410 \mathrm{~mm}$, Height rim c. 100 mm , centre 120 mm : Weight 28 kg (est intact 30 kg ): YQS 8124: Section 24
Comments: Assumed to be a lower stone from the convex 'upper' surface and the flat 'base', as no Roman querns from the site have domed upper surfaces. However, as it is unusually complete and less abraded than the other fragments, it could be a post-Roman domed upper stone. No parallels are known for the two central pits they are presumed to be the initial stage for a central perforation.

## No 22: Disc Rough-out - Probable Lower Stone

Description: 40-45\% fragment, roughly broken across a diameter, with an edge fracture: The 'upper' surface is roughly finished $(+/-5 \mathrm{~mm})$ and slightly domed - no rotary wear: the edges are neatly picked vertical: 'Base' is roughly dressed flat, with a curved rim.
Lithology: Fine grained sandstone
Dimensions: Diameter 430mm: Height rim 95 mm , centre 110 mm : Weight 13.5 kg (est intact 32 kg ): Yqs 8123 : Section 24.
Comments: If manufacture had progressed to perforation, the expected size of $40 \mathrm{~mm}(+/-30 \mathrm{~mm})$ hole should have been visible - so it is assumed to be un-perforated.

## No 23: Possible Pot Quern Upper Rough-out

Description: $27 \%$ fragment, roughly quartered: 'upper' surface was slightly dome-shaped, using quite coarse 15 mm diameter, 3 mm deep pecking, but no rotary wear is evident: the edge is neatly finished and at $10^{\circ}$ to the vertical: the base is also domed, with a smooth finish.
Lithology: Light brown, fine grained sandstone, with probable fossil burrow holes.
Dimensions: Diameter 250 mm : Height rim 75 mm , centre 90 mm : Weight 2.069 kg , (est intact 7.5 kg ): YQS 8117: Section 10.
Comments: An unusual item, as its diameter is well below the range of Roman disc querns. It is most likely to be a medieval pot quern upper stone. At Wharram Percy, Watt (2004, 220) considered diameters of 220 \& 260 mm were indicative of pot quern uppers, which have earliest dates around the $12^{\text {th }}$ century AD. Alternative, but rejected, options include:
a) Saddle Quern lower stone - no recognisable G/S, b) minature/ toy quern - rare and usually smaller.

